

ANTIGEN- AND RECEPTOR-DRIVEN
REGULATORY MECHANISMS
VII. H-2-restricted Anti-Idiotypic Suppressor
Factor from Efferent Suppressor T Cells*

BY MICHAEL H. DIETZ, MAN-SUN SY, BARUJ BENACERRAF, ALFRED
NISONOFF, MARK I. GREENE, AND RONALD N. GERMAIN

*From the Department of Pathology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115; and the
Department of Biology, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02254*

Interactions between T lymphocyte subsets characterize virtually all well-studied models of antigen-specific immune suppression (1-11). In addition, soluble factors possessing antigen-binding sites and I region-coded determinants have been found to play major roles in these cell-cell interactions (reviewed in 12, 13). However, no clear consensus has been reached as to the precise phenotype of each participating T cell, the total number of cells involved in the overall pathway from initial antigen stimulation to actual suppression, or the importance of H-2 and/or variable region of immunoglobulin heavy chain (V_H)¹-linked genetic restrictions at each step. At least some of the difficulty in resolving these issues arises because most studies to date appear to involve only a portion of a much larger sequence of cell interactions.

Over the past several years these laboratories have attempted to explore these issues by analyzing the sequence of T-T interactions in several T suppressor (Ts) models. Previous studies have defined in detail the production of antigen-specific T cell-derived suppressor factors (TsF) from antigen-induced first-order Ts (Ts₁) (we have termed this TsF "TsF₁") (14-17). In four different models, such TsF₁ have been shown to bear I-J-subregion-coded determinants and to function by inducing a second set of Ts, termed Ts₂, from resting T cell populations (2, 4, 17-21). This induction occurs across H-2 and background genetic differences (19, 22, 23). More-recent studies have shown that these TsF share serologically detectable idiotypic determinants with antibody of the same specificity (24, 25), and, in a model system involving suppression of delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) responses to the hapten azobenzenearsonate (ABA), that the Ts₂ induced by idiotypic ABA-TsF₁ are, in fact, anti-idiotypic (10). The present study continues this work by investigating the suppressive activity of such anti-idiotypic Ts₂. The data demonstrate that in contrast to Ts₁, Ts₂ are able to

* Supported by grants AI-14732, AI-16396, AI-12907, and AI-12895 from the National Institutes of Health, and by a grant from the Cancer Research Institute, Inc., New York.

¹ *Abbreviations used in this paper:* ABA, azobenzenearsonate; ABA-SC, ABA-coupled spleen cells; CRI, cross-reactive major idiotype of A/J anti-ABA antibodies; CRI-Ig, CRI-containing Ig; DNBS, dinitrobenzenesulfonate; DTH, delayed-type hypersensitivity; HBSS, Hanks' balanced salts solution; NP, 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; TNP, trinitrophenyl; Ts, suppressor T cell(s); Ts₁, antigen-induced first-order Ts; Ts₂, TsF₁ induced second-order Ts; TsF, antigen-specific T cell-derived suppressor factors; TsF₁, soluble suppressor factor from Ts₁; TsF₂, soluble suppressor factor from Ts₂.

suppress already immune animals. Furthermore, the T_{s2} produce a second soluble factor, TsF_2 , which lacks Ig-constant-region determinants, bears H-2-coded determinants, is anti-idiotypic, and fails to act across H-2 genetic differences. These results provide the basis for a hypothesis integrating a number of suppressor models into a single overall scheme.

Materials and Methods

Mice. A/J (H-2^a, Igh-1^e), A.By (H-2^b, Igh-1^e), B10.A (H-2^a, Igh-1^b) mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine. All animals in these experiments were 8–10 wk of age at the time of the experiment. All experimental groups consisted of at least four animals per group.

Preparation of Antigen and Antigen-coupled Cells. These procedures have been described in detail elsewhere (26). Briefly, a 40-mM solution of diazonium salt was prepared from *p*-arsanilic acid (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N. Y.). The ABA solution was activated as previously described and conjugated to single-cell suspensions of erythrocyte-free spleen cells at a final concentration of 10 mM ABA. After washing in Hank's balanced salts solution (HBSS), the ABA-coupled spleen cells (ABA-SC) were used to induce DTH or Ts. Trinitrophenyl (TNP)-coupled spleen cells were prepared as described earlier (27).

Induction and Elicitation of DTH to ABA- to TNP-coupled Cells. To induce DTH to ABA or TNP, a total of 3×10^7 ABA-coupled syngeneic cells or TNP-coupled syngeneic spleen cells were injected subcutaneously into two separate sites on the dorsal flanks of mice. Challenge was performed four d later by injecting 30 μ l of 10 mM diazonium salt of *p*-arsanilic acid or 30 μ l of 10 mM 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid, pH 7.4, (Eastman Kodak Co.) into the left footpad. 24 h after the footpad challenge, DTH reactivity was assessed by measuring the swelling of the footpad using a Fowler Micrometer (Schlesinger's for Tools, Brooklyn, N. Y.). The magnitude of the DTH was expressed as the increment of thickness of the challenged left footpad as compared with the untreated right footpad. Responses are given in units of 10^{-2} mm \pm SEM.

Induction of Suppressor Cells and Preparation of Suppressor Factors. Normal A/J mice were injected intravenously with 5×10^7 ABA-SC. 7 d later, these mice served as donors of T_{s1} (10). Spleens from such animals were removed, and a single-cell suspension was prepared in HBSS. The cells were washed twice in HBSS and counted. To assay for the ability of such cells to inhibit ABA-specific DTH, 5×10^7 viable cells were injected intravenously into normal recipients, which were then primed subcutaneously with ABA-SC and challenged five d later. Production of TsF_1 was done precisely as described earlier (17). Briefly, 1×10^8 – 5×10^8 washed spleen cells in 1 ml of HBSS were subjected to alternate snap freezing at -78°C and thawing at 37°C . This was repeated four times and followed by centrifugation at 10,000 *g* for 90 min. The supernates were adjusted to 5×10^8 cell-equivalents/ml and frozen until use at -40°C . To test the ability of TsF_1 to inhibit ABA-specific DTH, the extract was injected intravenously into normal mice beginning at the time of immunization with 3×10^7 ABA-SC. 2×10^7 cell-equivalents of factor were administered each day for five successive d, at which time the animals were challenged and their footpad responses measured. To induce T_{s2} , TsF_1 (2×10^7 cell-equivalents/d) was injected intravenously into normal A/J mice for 5 successive d (10). On day 7, spleen cells were assayed for T_{s2} activity by adoptive transfer to normal recipients, which were immunized and challenged as described above. TsF_2 was prepared from the spleens of TsF_1 -treated animals in the same manner as TsF_1 . TsF_2 was usually assayed by administering 2×10^7 cell-equivalents of extract/d per mouse on the day before and the day of footpad challenge of subcutaneously primed mice. As indicated in Results, however, T_{s1} , TsF_1 , T_{s2} , and TsF_2 were also tested according to other schedules to assess afferent versus efferent suppressive activity.

Affinity Chromatography of TsF_2 . Solid-phase immunoabsorbent columns were prepared and characterized as described earlier (17, 25). Soluble TsF_2 was fractionated on immunoabsorbents in the following manner. 5-ml plastic columns containing Sepharose 4B conjugated with the relevant protein were prepared and extensively washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.2, immediately before loading of the suppressor factors. The adsorption of the factor was performed at 4°C by allowing 2.4×10^8 cell-equivalents of suppressor factor in 1 ml to enter

the gel matrix; the suppressor factor was then allowed to remain in the column for at least 60 min at 4°C. The column was then washed with at least five times its own void volume of PBS, pH 7.2. Such effluents were termed filtrates. Materials that remained adsorbed to the column were rapidly eluted with five bed-volumes of a glycine-HCl buffer, pH 2.8. The collected eluate was immediately neutralized to pH 7.0 with 1 N NaOH as the material emerged from the column. Both the filtrate and the eluate were concentrated to the original volume by negative-pressure dialysis at 4°C and were thereafter frozen at -40°C. Such materials were thawed and adjusted to the appropriate concentration immediately before use.

Purification of Suppressor Cells on Antigen-coated Plates. Specific suppressor cells were separated according to a modification of the method of Taniguchi and Miller (28), as reported earlier (29).

Antiserum Treatment. Anti-Thy 1.2 hybridoma antiserum was kindly provided by Dr. P. Lake, University College, London, England. 1×10^8 spleen cells from animals treated with TsF₁ were incubated with 1 ml of 1:20 dilution of anti-Thy 1.2 hybridoma antibody for 45 min at 0°C, washed once in HBSS, and then incubated again with 1 ml of a 1:10 dilution of Low-Tox rabbit complement (Cedarlane Laboratories Ltd., London, Ontario, Canada) for 30 min at 37°C. The cells were then washed twice in HBSS and passed over a Ficoll-Hypaque density gradient to remove the dead cells. The cells at the interface were recovered, extensively washed, counted (>90% viability as determined by trypan blue exclusion), and adjusted to the appropriate concentration for the preparation of TsF₂.

Statistical Analysis. Analysis of the significance of the differences in the data obtained was carried out using a Wang programmable computer. The means and SEM were expressed as well as the relevant *P* value obtained with the two-tailed Student's *t* test.

Results

Ts₁ and Ts₂ Differ in Their Ability to Suppress an Immunized Animal. Ts able to regulate the response of an immunized animal are frequently termed "efferent" suppressors and have been reported in several systems (30-32). This is in contrast to those Ts that act only when given before or at the time of initial antigen priming, the so-called "afferent" suppressors (31). Because Ts₁ arise before and stimulate Ts₂, the ability of these two cell types to suppress when transferred at different times during the ABA-DTH response was investigated. Ts₁ and Ts₂ cells were prepared as in Materials and Methods and transferred to sets of mice that were primed either on various days before or on the day of Ts transfer. All groups were challenged in the footpad 4 d after priming, and the DTH response assayed on day five. As shown in Fig. 1, both Ts₁ and Ts₂ suppress when given on the day of priming (afferent suppression). Ts₁ fail to decrease responses when transferred 2 or more d after priming. Ts₂, in contrast, could suppress the DTH responses of mice when transferred 2 or 3 d after priming, indicating that they could function in an efferent mode. These experiments also clearly indicate that in addition to differential receptor specificity, as reported earlier (10), Ts₁ and Ts₂ are functionally distinct suppressor T cell subsets.

The Ts₂ Capable of Suppressing When Transferred Late in an Evolving Immune Response are Anti-Idiotypic. In an earlier report (10) the Ts₂ induced by TsF₁ were shown to be anti-idiotypic by the demonstration that such cells adhered to plates coated with cross-reactive major idiotype of A/J anti-ABA antibodies (CRI)-containing mouse immunoglobulin (CRI-Ig). In that report, the anti-idiotypic cells were transferred to mice at the time of immunization. To insure that the Ts₂ that were able to act late in an ongoing immune response were the same anti-idiotypic Ts₂ described earlier, plate-separated T cells were transferred to immune mice 1 d before challenge (Fig. 2).

This experiment demonstrates that efferent suppressor cells were depleted from the whole T cell population by incubation on CRI-Ig-coated plates, but not on control




FIG. 1. T_{s2} and T_{s1} differ in their ability to suppress an immunized animal. A/J mice were immunized with 3×10^7 ABA-SC subcutaneously on day 0. 5×10^7 T_{s1} (●), induced by intravenous injection of ABA-SC, or T_{s2} (▲), induced by T_{sF1} , were transferred intravenously into different groups of mice either on the day of immunization (day 0) or day 2, or day 3, or day 4. All mice were challenged 4 d after immunization with $30 \mu\text{l}$ of the diazonium salt of *p*-arsanilic acid as described in Materials and Methods. 24 h later, the degree of footpad swelling was determined. The percentage of suppression transferred was calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{percent tolerance} = \left(\frac{\text{positive control} - \text{experimental value}}{\text{positive control} - \text{negative control}} \right) \times 100.$$

* Not significantly different from control.




FIG. 2. The T_{s2} capable of suppressing when transferred late in an evolving immune response are anti-idiotypic. T_{s2} were obtained from A/J mice treated with 2×10^7 cell-equivalents of T_{sF1} (intravenously) for 5 successive d. They were then incubated first on rabbit anti-mouse Ig plates to remove the immunoglobulin-bearing B cells. Part of the enriched T cell population was assayed for suppressor activity (group C) or subjected to further purification on plates coated either with normal A/J immunoglobulin (groups D and E) or anti-ABA antibodies containing CRI determinants (groups F and G). The various populations were transferred to different groups of A/J mice which had been immunized with 3×10^7 ABA-SC 3 d earlier to assay for efferent suppression. All the mice and the appropriate control animals were challenged with the diazonium salt 24 h after cell transfer and increase in footpad swelling was determined 24 h after challenge. The bars represent the mean footpad swelling of at least four mice/group.

Ig-coated plates. In addition, such cells were recovered only from CRI-Ig-coated plates. Therefore, the Ts_2 capable of inhibiting an ongoing immune response have anti-idiotypic receptors.

Ts_2 and a Soluble Suppressor Factor (TsF_2) Derived from Ts_2 Suppress Fully Immune Mice. The preceding experiments, although demonstrating the ability of Ts_2 to act late after priming, did not prove that such Ts could inhibit the elicitation of DTH in a fully immune animal already able to give a response at the time of Ts transfer. The inability of Ts_2 to suppress when given on the day of challenge (Fig. 1) might indicate either that these cells cannot inhibit fully differentiated primed T cells or, alternatively, that additional time is necessary for optimal Ts_2 activity after transfer, either alone or in concert with additional cells of the recipient. To explore these issues, and to determine if Ts_2 acted via a soluble factor in analogy to Ts_1 and TsF_1 , a series of experiments was carried out in which challenge was delayed until day 5, permitting Ts_2 or TsF_2 transfer beginning on day 4, a time at which DTH can be readily elicited. As shown in Fig. 3, either Ts_2 , administered on day 4, or a soluble extract of Ts_2 , termed TsF_2 , given on days 4 and 5, markedly inhibited ABA DTH responses, indicating their ability to suppress the activity of already immune cells. Further, the source of TsF_2 is shown to be a T cell by the loss of TsF_2 activity from an anti-Thy 1.2 plus C-treated cell population.

Suppression by TsF_2 is Antigen Specific. In an earlier report, it was shown that TsF_1 acted in an antigen-specific fashion (17). Because antigen-specific suppressor pathways may terminate with a nonspecific effector molecule (33-34), the antigen specificity of the TsF_2 was tested. The results in Fig. 4 show that TsF_2 was not able to suppress DTH to the hapten TNP. Hence, TsF_2 functions in an antigen-specific fashion.

Functional Characterization of TsF_2 . To further analyze the activity of TsF_2 , a series of functional experiments comparing it to TsF_1 was carried out. In the experiment




FIG. 3. Ts_2 and a soluble suppressor factor TsF_2 derived from Ts_2 suppress fully immune mice. Ts_2 were obtained from A/J mice as described in Materials and Methods. Some of the Ts_2 were assayed for suppressor activity by adoptive transfer (group B), whereas others were either not treated (group C) or treated with complement alone as control (group D) or with anti-Thy 1.2 antibody and complement (group E). After the antiserum treatment, viable cells were recovered by density gradient separation using Ficoll-Hypaque. These cells (groups C, D, and E) were then subjected to several freeze-thaws to produce TsF_2 as described in Materials and Methods. To assay for TsF_2 activity, A/J mice were immunized with 3×10^7 ABA-SC on day 0. On day 4 or 5, they were treated with 3×10^7 cell equivalents of TsF_2 (intravenously) for two successive d. All the animals and the appropriate controls were challenged on day 5 and the increased footpad swelling measured 24 h later. The bars represent the mean footpad swelling of at least four mice/group.




FIG. 4. TsF₂ is antigen specific. A/J mice were immunized with either 3×10^7 ABA-SC or 3×10^7 TNP-SC subcutaneously on day 0. On days 3 and 4, they received 3×10^7 cell equivalents of TsF₂ obtained from animals injected with ABA-specific TsF₁ (groups B and E). All the animals and the appropriate controls were challenged on day 4 with the diazonium salt of *p*-arsanilic acid or TNBS in the footpad. 24 h later, the degree of footpad swelling was determined. The bars represent the mean footpad swelling of at least four mice/group.




FIG. 5. In contrast to TsF₁, which suppresses the afferent limb, TsF₂ suppresses the efferent limb of the immune response. 10^8 cell-equivalents of TsF₁ or TsF₂ were administered intravenously into different groups of A/J mice over 5-d periods (day 0 to day 4), beginning on the day of immunization with 3×10^7 ABA-SC (subcutaneously) (groups B and C), or only on the day before and the day of footpad challenge (days 3 and 4) (groups D and E). The mice were challenged with the diazonium salt on day 4. 24 h later, the degree of footpad swelling was determined.

depicted in Fig. 5, TsF₁ and TsF₂ were each administered to different sets of immunized A/J mice. They were either given over 5 d, beginning with the day of immunization and ending with the day of challenge, or they were administered only on the day before and the day of challenge. TsF₁ was able to suppress only when administered beginning with the day of immunization. TsF₂ was able to suppress when administered in either fashion. This pattern paralleled that seen with the cells, i.e., TsF₁ acts only in the afferent mode, whereas TsF₂ can act in the efferent mode.

A characteristic feature of TsF₁ studied in these laboratories is activity across H-2 differences. This contrasts markedly with data on antigen-specific TsF active in secondary humoral responses as reported by Tada et al. (35), and recent work showing that 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl (NP)-specific efferent Ts failed to suppress across I-region differences (37). TsF₂ was thus tested for its ability to act across various genetic differences. Fig. 6 shows that TsF₁ from A/J mice was able to suppress DTH in the H-2-congenic strain A.BY (H-2^b). On the other hand, TsF₂, produced from Ts₂ induced by the same lot of TsF₁, was unable to suppress the A.By strain when

H-2-RESTRICTED EFFERENT SUPPRESSION




FIG. 6. H-2 restriction of ABA-TsF₂ activity. A total of 10^8 cell-equivalents of A/J-derived TsF₁ or 6×10^7 cell-equivalents of TsF₂ was administered on days 0-4 or 4 and 5 to A/J and A.BY mice, respectively. These mice were all immunized with ABA-syngeneic spleen cells subcutaneously on day 0 and challenged in the footpad with diazonium salt on day 5. Footpad swelling was determined 24 h later.




FIG. 7. Influence of H-2 and non-H-2 genes on the activity of ABA-TsF₂. ABA-TsF₂ was prepared as described in Materials and Methods from A/J, A.BY, and B10.A mice. 6×10^7 cell-equivalents of the indicated TsF₂ preparation was administered on days 3 and 4 to mice primed subcutaneously on day 0 with ABA-syngeneic spleen cells. On day 4, mice were challenged with diazonium salt in the footpad, and swelling determined 24 h later.

administered in the efferent mode. Thus, TsF₂, in contrast to TsF₁, cannot act across H-2 differences.

Additional experiments were then carried out to assess whether or not gene products of non-H-2 loci also played a role in restricting TsF₂ activity. Furthermore, it was important to insure that the inability of the A.By mice to be suppressed in the efferent mode by A/J TsF₂ was not because of some inherent defect in TsF₂ activity in A.By mice. Therefore, in the experiment depicted in Fig. 7, TsF₂ was produced not only in

A/J, but also in the H-2-congenic strain A.By and in B10.A, which, like A/J, is H-2^a but which differs in its background. The TsF₂ was produced in each of the three strains by administration of TsF₁ derived from the same strain. A/J-derived TsF₂ was only able to suppress the immune response in A/J mice. A.By and B10.A mice were nonetheless suppressed by syngeneic TsF₂. Thus, both H-2 and non-H-2 gene products play roles in genetically restricting the function of TsF₂.

Immunochemical Characterization of TsF₂. A/J TsF₁ has been shown to bear H-2-coded determinants, but no Ig constant region determinants (17, 25). In addition, TsF₁ binds to ABA-conjugated Sepharose 4B columns and is retained by an anti-CRI column. TsF₂ was similarly characterized immunochemically in a series of experiments, the results of which are shown in Table I. In these studies, the filtrates and acid eluates of TsF₂ passed over a variety of immunoabsorbents were tested in the efferent mode. TsF₂ from A/J (H-2^a) mice was retained by an anti-H-2^a column, but not an anti-H-2^q or by an anti-mouse Ig column. The activity retained on the anti-H-2^a absorbent could be recovered in the acid eluate. Furthermore, TsF₂ was retained by and eluted from a column to which CRI-Ig was coupled, but not to columns to which nonspecific immune A/J Ig was coupled nor to columns to which ABA was

TABLE I
Immunochemical Characterization of ABA-TsF₂

Experiment	Immunoabsorbent fraction*	Footpad size‡ × 10 ⁻² mm ± SEM	Percent suppression§
1	None (positive control)	25.2 ± 2.0	—
	RAMIg, filtrate	11.3 ± 1.1 (P < 0.01)	79
	RAMIg, eluate	29.8 ± 1.8 (NS)	0
	B10 anti-B10.A, filtrate	21.8 ± 2.1 (NS)	20
	B10 anti-B10.A, eluate	14.0 ± 1.5 (P < 0.01)	64
2	None (positive control)	23.2 ± 2	—
	Unfractionated ABA-TsF ₂	5.0 ± 1.1 (P < 0.001)	100
	B10 anti-B10.A, filtrate	18.7 ± 0.9 (NS)	21
	B10 anti-B10.A, eluate	7.5 ± 0.2 (P < 0.001)	80
	(B10.D2 × B10.BR) anti-B10.6R, filtrate (B10.D2 × B10.BR) anti-B10.6R, eluate	5.5 ± 0.8 (P < 0.001) 23.0 ± 2.4 (NS)	86 0
3	None (positive control)	29.3 ± 3.0	—
	Unfractionated ABA-TsF ₂	7.8 ± 1.2 (P < 0.01)	100
	A/J control Ig (CRI ⁻), filtrate	9.0 ± 0.9 (P < 0.01)	100
	A/J control Ig (CRI ⁻), eluate	30.5 ± 3.4 (NS)	0
	A/J anti-ABA Ig (CRI ⁺), filtrate	25.0 ± 1.4 (NS)	22
	A/J anti-ABA Ig (CRI ⁺), eluate	16.5 ± .9 (P < 0.01)	65
	ABA-F ₁ G, filtrate ABA-F ₁ G, eluate	13.8 ± 2.3 (P < 0.07) 28.3 ± 1.7 (NS)	79 0

RAMIg, rabbit anti-mouse Ig; F₁G, fowl gamma globulin.

* A/J ABA-TsF₂ was passed over indicated immunoabsorbent and filtrate, then acid eluate collected, and tested in the efferent mode. B10 anti-B10.A = anti-H-2^a, (B10.D2 × B10.BR); anti-B10.6R = anti-H-2K^q, I^q.

‡ P value is in comparison to positive control.

§ Percent suppression = $\left(\frac{\text{positive control DTH} - \text{experimental DTH}}{\text{positive control DTH} - \text{negative control DTH}} \right) \times 100\%$.

coupled in the form of ABA-fowl gamma globulin. These experiments characterize TsF₂ as having H-2 determinants and anti-idiotypic specificity. It does not have anti-antigen binding activity.

Discussion

The studies reported above provide significant new information about the T cells and T cell-derived products involved in antigen-specific immune suppression of ABA DTH (10, 17, 25, 26, 29). The data indicate that antigen-specific Ts₁ and TsF₁ act in the afferent mode, before immunization, whereas Ts₂ and the soluble product of these anti-idiotypic cells, TsF₂, act in the efferent mode in fully immune animals. TsF₂ from the A/J strain has been characterized functionally and immunochemically. In contrast to TsF₁, TsF₂ fails to act across either H-2 or non-H-2 genetic differences, as shown by the observations that TsF₂ from A/J mice was not suppressive in A.By or B10.A mice. The active material lacks Ig constant region determinants and ABA-binding activity, but possesses H-2-coded determinants and has anti-idiotypic (anti-CRI) binding specificity. Similar idiotypic and anti-idiotypic TsF that suppress the idiotypic component of the humoral anti-ABA response have been reported previously (36).

Two major issues are raised by these results. The first involves the difference in mode of action between TsF₁ and TsF₂. Several earlier studies have demonstrated that some Ts act only in nonimmune animals to prevent priming, whereas other Ts can inhibit responses of immune animals (31, 37). The present report confirms these observations, in a model in which the direct relationship between the two cell types has been established. It is not clear if Ts₁ have any actual suppressive activity, or if they function only via Ts₂ induction. The time (24–48 h) needed for this inductive step would account for the inability of Ts₁ to function late in the immune response. Ts₂ clearly act in primed animals, but whether or not Ts₂ are actually effector Ts is not apparent. Their failure to suppress when given on the day of challenge may be simply a quantitative or homing problem, or may, in fact, reflect the necessity for Ts₂ to act on a third cell type which might be the actual effector suppressor cell. Whether or not the target of Ts₂ activity is another cell in the suppressor pathway or is the immune cell being suppressed, the specificity of action shown by the anti-idiotypic Ts₂ and the previous demonstration of the failure of such cells to suppress mice lacking the appropriate allotype linkage group of genes necessary for CRI expression indicate that the target cell bears idiotypic (CRI) determinants. Recent experiments have in fact identified a CRI⁺ T cell distinct from the DTH effector cell that is required for Ts₂-mediated suppression (M.-S. Sy, unpublished observations).

The second major issue raised by this study involves the genetic restrictions evident in TsF₂, but not TsF₁ activity. Examining TsF obtained from Ts in the L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-alanine³⁰-L-tyrosine¹⁰, L-glutamic acid⁵⁰-L-tyrosine⁵⁰, or ABA (M.-S. Sy, manuscript in preparation) models (19, 20) has not revealed the I-J restrictions of TsF function reported by Tada et al. (35). In each of these cases, TsF₁ activity across H-2 differences is quantitatively and qualitatively undistinguishable from that observed in H-2-identical animals. The finding that ABA-TsF₂ fails to act in H-2-different mice suggests strongly that one explanation for the apparent discrepancy in the earlier studies is that the TsF studied by Tada et al. (35) is a TsF₂ and not TsF₁, and that only such TsF₂ show H-2-restricted activity. This suggestion is consistent with the

prolonged (4 wk) time period used to generate the keyhole limpet hemocyanin-specific Ts (38) and the ability of these cells to suppress primed T cell populations (39). It may be relevant that the suppressor cells identified by Owen et al. (40) after hyperimmunization and a prolonged rest period were anti-idiotypic in their specificity. We have recently proposed (41) an overall pathway of T cell-TsF activity based on this analysis that integrates the major features of several reported Ts models into a single scheme. In this model, antigen-specific, H-2-unrestricted TsF₁ induces Ts₂, which produces TsF₂. This material in turn acts in an H-2-restricted manner on antigen-primed idiotype auxiliary Ts (Ts₃; T_{aux}) that mediate effector suppression.

Other groups have also demonstrated a role for H-2 gene products in Ts activity. Thus, Miller et al. (42) have shown that suppression requires identity between Ts donor and recipient at the H-2D locus in the dinitrophenyl-coupled cell model. Weinberger et al. (37) showed that NP-specific Ts₂ failed to act across I-region differences. Epstein and Cohn (43), exploring alloinduced Ts, and Jandinski et al. (44), studying TNP-modified, self-induced Ts active in humoral immune responses, observed H-2-restricted suppressor function. Rich and Rich (45) showed that TsF active in inhibiting mixed lymphocyte responses required I-C subregion identity between producer and acceptor (45). Moorehead (46, 47) found that dinitrobenzenesulfonate (DNBS)-induced TsF was also H-2K- or H-2-D-restricted in its interaction with its target cell. Recently, Yamuchi et al.² have found a sheep erythrocyte-specific TsF in the feedback suppression model which shows H-2 restriction. Thus, such H-2 genetic restrictions are common in suppressor systems, although the subregion involved appears to differ in the various examples, and the site in the pathway at which the genetic influence exists has not always been evaluated. In all of these studies, it is not yet clear if the restriction reflects the receptor specificity of the TsF itself, as is true of H-2-restricted cytotoxic lymphocytes, the receptor specificity of the target cell, or some other interaction process controlled by H-2-linked genes.

A final point concerns the influence of background genes on TsF₂ activity. Several groups have demonstrated a role for non-H-2-, non-V_H-linked genes in Ts activity (48, 49). More recently, Weinberger et al. (37) and Eardley et al. (50) have reported on the critical role of V_H-linked genes in T-T interaction in Ts pathways. Based on the known anti-idiotypic specificity of TsF₂, and the finding that Ts₂ fail to act across allotype differences in congenic strains of mice (50), it is most likely that the influence of non-H-2 genes on TsF₂ activity in the ABA model reflects a requirement for idiotype expression in the recipient to serve as the TsF₂ target. Thus, mapping studies currently in progress might be expected to reveal that the relevant non-H-2 genes controlling TsF₂ function are allotype-linked (V_H) genes. It should be pointed out that the requirement for idiotype expression to permit detection of Ts₂ activity can lead to detection of pseudorestrictions of TsF₁ activity. Sy et al. (51) have recently demonstrated that the apparent failure of ABA-TsF₁ (M.-S. Sy, manuscript in preparation) or CRI-coupled spleen cells to suppress CRI⁻ mice is not a result of a failure of Ts₂ induction by these materials because of V_H restrictions, but rather, of a failure of Ts activity because of the absence of an idiotype target in these animals. Thus, the apparent V_H restriction of TsF₁ activity under such circumstances does not truly reflect the activity of the TsF itself but rather reflects genetic constraints on later

² Yamuchi et al. Manuscript submitted for publication.

parts of the suppressor pathway. These findings must be kept in mind in evaluating any apparent restrictions of Ts interactions.

These experiments have extended our knowledge about the cells and factors involved in a single Ts model and pointed out the similarities and differences involved in T-T interactions in two successive stages of the ABA suppressor pathway. These observations have also been of help in understanding the relationship among the various suppressor systems in the literature. Future studies may reveal the basis for initiation of the suppressor pathway and the actual means by which Ts decrease immune responses.

Summary

Azobenzenearsonate (ABA)-specific T cell-derived suppressor factor (TsF₁) from A/J mice was used to induce second-order suppressor T cells (Ts₂). Comparison of suppressor T cells induced by antigen (Ts₁) with Ts₂ induced by TsF₁ revealed that Ts₁ were afferent suppressors active only when given at the time of antigen priming, and not thereafter, whereas Ts₂ could act when transferred at any time up to 1 d before antigen challenge for a delayed-type hypersensitivity response. This was true even when the recipient could be shown to be fully immune before transfer of Ts₂, thus defining these cells as efferent suppressors. The anti-idiotypic specificity of the Ts₂ was demonstrated by the ability of Ts₂ to bind to idiotype (cross-reactive idiotype [CRI])-coated Petri dishes. A soluble extract from Ts₂ (TsF₂) was also capable of mediating efferent suppression that was functionally antigen- (ABA) specific. Comparison of TsF₁ with this new factor, TsF₂, revealed that both lack Ig-constant-region determinants, possess H-2-coded determinants, and show specific binding (to ABA and to CRI⁺-Ig, respectively). TsF₁ acts in strains that differ with respect to H-2 and background genes, whereas TsF₂ shows H-2- and non-H-2-linked genetic restrictions. This existence of H-2 restriction of TsF₂ activity suggests that the apparent discrepancies in studies on H-2 restriction of TsF may be a result of the analysis of two separate classes of TsF, only one of which shows genetically restricted activity, thus unifying several models of suppressor cell activity.

We thank Ms. Ellen Morelock for her expert technical assistance and Ms. Terri Greenberg and Harriet Yake for their care in preparing this manuscript.

Received for publication 20 October 1980.

References

1. Feldmann, M., P. C. L. Beverley, J. Woody, and I. F. C. McKenzie. 1977. T-T interactions in the induction of suppressor and helper T cells: analysis of membrane phenotype of precursor and amplifier cells. *J. Exp. Med.* **145**:793.
2. Waltenbaugh, C., J. Thèze, J. A. Kapp, and B. Benacerraf. 1977. Immunosuppressive factor(s) specific for L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-tyrosine⁶⁰ (GT). III. Generation of suppressor T cells by a suppressive extract derived from GT-primed lymphoid cells. *J. Exp. Med.* **146**: 970.
3. Tada, T. 1977. Regulation of the antibody response by T cell products determined by different I subregions. *In* The Immune System: Genetics and Regulation, E. Sercarz, L. A. Herzenberg, and C. F. Fox, editors. Academic Press, Inc., New York. 345.

4. Germain, R. N., J. Thèze, J. A. Kapp, and B. Benacerraf. 1978. Antigen-specific T-cell-mediated suppression. I. Induction of L-glutamic acid-L-alanine-L-tyrosine specific suppressor T cells in vitro requires both antigen-specific T-cell-suppressor factor and antigen. *J. Exp. Med.* **147**:123.
5. Eardley, D. D., J. Hugenberger, L. McVay-Boudreau, F.-W. Shen, R. K. Gershon, and H. Cantor. 1978. Immunoregulatory circuits among T-cell sets. I. T-helper cells induce other T-cell sets to exert feedback inhibition. *J. Exp. Med.* **147**:1106.
6. Perry, L. L., B. Benacerraf, and M. I. Greene. 1978. Regulation of the immune response to tumor antigen. IV. Tumor antigen-specific suppressor factor(s) bear I-J determinants and induce suppressor T cells in vivo. *J. Immunol.* **121**:2144.
7. Araneo, B. A., R. L. Gowell, and E. E. Sercarz. 1979. Ir gene defect may reflect a regulatory imbalance. I. Helper T cell activity revealed in a strain whose lack of response is controlled by suppression. *J. Immunol.* **123**:961.
8. McDougal, J. S., F. W. Shen, and P. Elster. 1979. Generation of T helper cells in vitro. V. Antigen-specific Ly 1⁺ T cells mediate the helper effect and induce feedback suppression. *J. Immunol.* **122**:435.
9. UytedeHaag, F., C. J. Heijnen, K. H. Pot, and R. E. Ballierux. 1979. T-T interactions in the induction of antigen-specific human suppressor T lymphocytes in vitro. *J. Immunol.* **123**:646.
10. Sy, M.-S., M. H. Dietz, R. N. Germain, B. Benacerraf, and M. I. Greene. 1980. Antigen- and receptor-driven regulatory mechanisms. IV. Idiotype-bearing I-J⁺ suppressor T cell factors induce second-order suppressor cells which express anti-idiotypic receptors. *J. Exp. Med.* **151**:1183.
11. Weinberger, J. Z., R. N. Germain, B. Benacerraf, and M. E. Dorf. 1980. Hapten-specific T cell responses to 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl. V. Role of idiotypes in the suppressor pathway. *J. Exp. Med.* **152**:161.
12. Germain, R. N., and B. Benacerraf. 1980. Helper and suppressor T cell factors. *Springer Sem. Immunopathol.* **3**:93.
13. Tada, T., and K. Okumura. 1980. The role of antigen specific T cell factors in the immune response. *Adv. Immunol.* **28**:1.
14. Kapp, J. A., C. W. Pierce, F. DelaCroix, and B. Benacerraf. 1976. Immunosuppressive factor(s) extracted from lymphoid cells of nonresponder mice primed with L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-alanine³⁰-L-tyrosine¹⁰ (GAT). I. Activity and antigenic specificity. *J. Immunol.* **116**:305.
15. Waltenbaugh, C., P. Debre, J. Thèze, and B. Benacerraf. 1977. Immunosuppressive factor(s) specific for L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-tyrosine⁶⁰ (GT). I. Production, characterization, and lack of H-2 restriction for activity in recipient strain. *J. Immunol.* **118**:2073.
16. Greene, M. I., S. Fujimoto, and A. H. Schon. 1977. Regulation of the immune response to tumor antigens. III. Characterization of thymic suppressor factor(s) produced by tumor-bearing hosts. *J. Immunol.* **119**:757.
17. Greene, M. I., B. A. Bach, and B. Benacerraf. 1979. Mechanisms of regulation of cell-mediated immunity. III. The characterization of azobenzenearsonate-specific suppressor T-cell-derived suppressor factors. *J. Exp. Med.* **149**:1069.
18. Thèze, J., C. Waltenbaugh, M. E. Dorf, and B. Benacerraf. 1977. Immunosuppressive factor(s) specific for L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-tyrosine⁶⁰ (GT). II. Presence of I-J determinants on the GT suppressive factor. *J. Exp. Med.* **146**:287.
19. Germain, R. N., J. Thèze, C. Waltenbaugh, M. E. Dorf, and B. Benacerraf. 1978. Antigen specific T-cell mediated suppression. II. *In vitro* induction by I-J coded L-glutamic acid⁵⁰-L-tyrosine⁶⁰ (GT)-specific T cell suppressor factor (GT-T_sF) of suppressor T cells (T_{s2}) bearing distinct I-J determinants. *J. Immunol.* **121**:602.
20. Kapp, J. A. 1978. Immunosuppressive factor(s) extracted from lymphoid cells of nonre-

- sponder mice primed with L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-alanine³⁰-L-tyrosine¹⁰ (GAT). IV. Lack of strain restriction among allogeneic, nonresponder donors and recipients. *J. Exp. Med.* **147**: 997.
21. Germain, R. N., and B. Benacerraf. 1978. Antigen-specific T cell-mediated suppression. III. Induction of antigen-specific suppressor T cells (T_{s2}) in L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-alanine³⁰-L-tyrosine¹⁰ (GAT) responder mice by nonresponder-derived GAT-suppressor factor (GAT-T_sF). *J. Immunol.* **121**:608.
 22. Waltenbaugh, C., and B. Benacerraf. 1978. Specific suppressor extract stimulates the production of suppressor T cells. In *Ir Genes and Ia Antigens*. H. O. McDevitt, editor. Academic Press, Inc., New York. 549.
 23. Pierres, M., B. Benacerraf, and R. N. Germain. 1979. Antigen-specific T cell-mediated suppression. VI. Properties of in vitro generated L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-alanine³⁰-L-tyrosine¹⁰ (GAT)-specific T-suppressor factor(s) (GAT-T_sF) in responder mouse strains. *J. Immunol.* **123**:2756.
 24. Germain, R. N., S.-T. Ju, T. J. Kipps, B. Benacerraf, and M. E. Dorf. 1979. Shared idiotypic determinants on antibodies and T cell derived suppressor factor specific for the random terpolymer L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-alanine³⁰-L-tyrosine¹⁰. *J. Exp. Med.* **149**:613.
 25. Bach, B. A., M. I. Greene, B. Benacerraf, and A. Nisonoff. 1979. Mechanisms of regulation of cell-mediated immunity. IV. Azobenzenearsonate-specific suppressor factor(s) bear cross-reactive idiotypic determinants the expression of which is linked to the heavy-chain allotype linkage group of genes. *J. Exp. Med.* **149**:1084.
 26. Bach, B. A., L. Sherman, B. Benacerraf, and M. I. Greene. 1978. Mechanisms of regulation of cell-mediated immunity. II. Induction and suppression of delayed-type hypersensitivity to azobenzenearsonate-coupled syngeneic cells. *J. Immunol.* **121**:1460.
 27. Greene, M. I., M. Sugimoto, and B. Benacerraf. 1978. Mechanisms of regulation of cell-mediated immune responses. I. Effect of the route of immunization with TNP-coupled syngeneic cells on the induction and suppression of contact sensitivity to picryl chloride. *J. Immunol.* **120**:1604.
 28. Taniguchi, M., and J. F. A. P. Miller. 1977. Enrichment of specific suppressor T cells and characterization of their surface markers. *J. Exp. Med.* **146**:1450.
 29. Dietz, M. H., M.-S. Sy, M. I. Greene, A. Nisonoff, B. Benacerraf, and R. N. Germain. 1980. Antigen- and receptor-driven regulatory mechanisms. VI. Demonstration of cross-reactive idiotypic determinants on azobenzenearsonate specific suppressor T cells producing soluble suppressor factor(s). *J. Immunol.* **125**:2374.
 30. Zembala, M., and G. L. Asherson. 1974. T cell suppression of contact sensitivity in the mouse. II. The role of soluble suppressor factor and its interaction with macrophages. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **4**:799.
 31. Claman, H. N., S. D. Miller, M.-S. Sy, and J. W. Moorhead. 1980. Suppressive mechanisms involving sensitization and tolerance in contact allergy. *Immunol. Rev.* **50**:105.
 32. Zembala, M., and G. L. Asherson. 1973. Depression of the T-cell phenomenon of contact sensitivity by T-cells from unresponsive mice. *Nature (Lond.)*. **244**:227.
 33. Asherson, G. L., and M. Zembala. 1974. T cell suppression of contact sensitivity in the mouse. III. The role of macrophages and the specific triggering of nonspecific suppression. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **4**:804.
 34. Ptak, W., M. Zembala, M. Hanczakowski-Rewicka, and G. L. Asherson. 1978. Nonspecific macrophage suppressor factor: its role in the inhibition of contact sensitivity to picryl chloride by specific T suppressor factor. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **8**:645.
 35. Tada, T., M. Taniguchi, and C. S. David. 1976. Properties of the antigen-specific suppressive T-cell factor in the regulation of antibody response of the mouse. IV. Special subregion assignment of the gene(s) that codes for the suppressive T-cell factor in the H-2 histocompatibility complex. *J. Exp. Med.* **144**:713.

36. Hirai, Y., and A. Nisonoff. 1980. Selective suppression of the major idiotypic component of an antihapten response by soluble T cell-derived factors with idiotypic or anti-idiotypic receptors. *J. Exp. Med.* **151**:1213.
37. Weinberger, J. Z., B. Benacerraf, and M. E. Dorf. 1980. Hapten-specific T-cell responses to 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl. III. Interactions of effector suppressor T cells are restricted by I-A and Igh-V genes. *J. Exp. Med.* **151**:1413.
38. Takemori, T., and T. Tada. 1975. Properties of antigen-specific suppressive T-cell factor in the regulation of antibody response of the mouse. I. In vivo activity and immunochemical characterizations. *J. Exp. Med.* **142**:1241.
39. Taniguchi, M., K. Hayakawa, and T. Tada. 1976. Properties of antigen-specific suppressive T cell factor in the regulation of antibody response of the mouse. II. In vitro activity and evidence for the I region gene product. *J. Immunol.* **116**:542.
40. Owen, F. L., S.-T. Ju, and A. Nisonoff. 1977. Presence on idiotype-specific suppressor T-cells of receptors that interact with molecules bearing the idiotype. *J. Exp. Med.* **145**:1559.
41. Germain, R. N., and B. Benacerraf. Hypothesis: a single major pathway of T lymphocyte interactions in antigen specific immune suppression. *Scand. J. Immunol.* In press.
42. Miller, S. D., M.-S. Sy, and H. N. Claman. 1978. Genetic restrictions for the induction of suppressor T cells by hapten-modified lymphoid cells in tolerance to 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene contact sensitivity. Role of the H-2D region of the major histocompatibility complex. *J. Exp. Med.* **147**:788.
43. Epstein, R., and M. Cohn. 1978. T-cell inhibition of humoral responsiveness. I. Experimental evidence for restriction by the K- and/or D-end of the H-2 gene complex. *Cell. Immunol.* **39**:110.
44. Jandinski, J. J., J. Li, P. J. Wettstein, J. A. Frelinger, and D. W. Scott. 1980. Role of self carriers in the immune response and tolerance. V. Reversal of trinitrophenyl-modified self-suppression of the B cell response by blocking of H-2 antigens. *J. Exp. Med.* **151**:133.
45. Rich, S. S., and R. R. Rich. 1976. Regulatory mechanisms in cell-mediated immune responses III. I-region control of suppressor cell interaction with responder cells in mixed lymphocyte reactions. *J. Exp. Med.* **143**:672.
46. Moorhead, J. W. 1977. Soluble factors in tolerance and contact sensitivity to DNFB in mice. II. Genetic requirements for suppression of contact sensitivity by soluble suppressor factor. *J. Immunol.* **119**:1773.
47. Moorhead, J. W. 1979. Soluble factors in tolerance and contact sensitivity to 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene in mice. III. Histocompatibility antigens associated with hapten dinitrophenyl serve as target molecules on 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene immune T-cells for soluble suppressor factor. *J. Exp. Med.* **150**:1432.
48. Taniguchi, M., T. Tada, and T. Tokuhisa. 1976. Properties of the antigen-specific suppressive T-cell factor in the regulation of antibody response of the mouse. III. Dual gene control of the T-cell-mediated suppression of the antibody response. *J. Exp. Med.* **144**:20.
49. Rich, S. S., F. M. Orson, and R. R. Rich. 1977. Regulatory mechanisms in cell-mediated immune responses. VI. Interaction of H-2 and Non-H-2 genes in elaboration of mixed leukocyte reaction suppressor factor. *J. Exp. Med.* **146**:1211.
50. Eardley, D. D., F. W. Shen, H. Cantor, and R. K. Gershon. 1979. Genetic control of immunoregulatory circuits: genes linked to the Ig locus govern communication between regulatory T-cell sets. *J. Exp. Med.* **150**:44.
51. Sy, M.-S., M. H. Dietz, A. Nisonoff, R. N. Germain, B. Benacerraf, and M. I. Greene. 1980. Antigen- and receptor-driven regulatory mechanisms. V. The failure of idiotype-coupled spleen cells to induce unresponsiveness in animals lacking the appropriate V_H genes is caused by the lack of idiotype-matched targets. *J. Exp. Med.* **152**:1226.